

10

[Start of page May 11, 2006, 10:08 PM EST]

scheme, only forbidding of backwards info flows that create paradoxes (and even then it would be a drastically lowered probability, not a simple "forbidding".]

10:12 PM

Comments: The biological start of the above note was a surprise to me on re-reading this note from several years ago (almost 6 years!). I'm not entirely sure I understand every part of the note, which certainly gives me pause to wonder how understandable it would be to anyone else...☺

The ending, though, is very familiar — it is the argument I used against Kish's non-quantum, thermodynamic encryption strategy, primarily as a way to explain why quantum encryption really is unique — it is "as if" one

[End of page May 11, 2006, 10:19 PM EST Terry Bollinger]

10

[Start of page May 11, 2006, 10:08 PM EST]

scheme, only forbidding of
backwards info flows that create
paradoxes (and even then it would
be a drastically lowered probability,
not a simple "forbidding".]
\----------/ 10:12 PM

Comments: The biological start of
the above note was a surprise to me
on re-reading this note from several
years ago (almost 6 years!). I'm not
entirely sure I understand every part
of the note, which certainly gives me
pause to wonder how understandable
it would be to anyone else… ☺

The ending, though, is very familiar –
it is the argument I used against
Kish's non-quantum, thermodynamic
encryption strategy, primarily as a way
to explain why quantum encryption
really is unique – it is "as if" one

[End of page May 11, 2006, 10:19 PM EST Terry Bollinger]